

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 STEVEN BOYCE CRADER,

10 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-00-2275 DFL CMK P

11 vs.

12 CA REHABILITATION, et al.,

13 Respondents.

ORDER

14 _____/
15 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas
16 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
17 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.


18 On January 12, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
19 herein, which were served on the petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any
20 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. To date, no
21 objections have been filed.

22 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
23 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
24 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
25 proper analysis.

26 ///

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the findings and recommendations
filed January 12, 2005, are adopted in full.

DATED: 6/24/2005



DAVID F. LEVI
United States District Judge